

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandra, Viginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/763,646	07/09/2001	Klaus Urich	VI/98-023.DE	VI/98-023.DE 7684	
75	90 08/12/2003				
Gregory L Bradley Medrad Inc One Medrad Drive		EXAMINER			
			SERKE, CA	SERKE, CATHERINE	
Indianola, PA	13031		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3763	. (	
			DATE MAILED: 08/12/2003	14	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			NK				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/763,646	URICH, KLAUS					
Authory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Catherine Serke	3763					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 07 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appelexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper relich places the application	oly to a cation in				
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered t	pecause:						
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:	•					
Claim(s) allowed: 17-25,27-33,49-55,59,60,62-70,72-84,86-99,101-107,109-137,139,140,142 and 143.							
Claim(s) objected to: 11,12,16,28-30,43,44 and 48.							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,4-9,13-15,34-41,45-47,138 and 141</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disap	proved by the Exar	niner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
10. Other:							
· ·			-				



Continuation of 2. NOTE: While claims 1 and 34 were amended to include previously indicated allowable subject matter, functional language was removed from the claim. The removal of the functional language changes the scope of the claim since in the previous rejection the prior art used was capable of being used in the function as claimed. While functional language in the preamble is given limited patentable weight, removal of the language does change the scope of the claim and even though indicated allowable subject matter was added the claims are subject to a new consideration/search.

BRIAN L. CASLER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700